# Questions, Thoughts and Potential Changes for Footprints

## Main text:

Pg 137-138  
(Saṅghātivāsī agiho carāmi nivuttakeso. Close brackets

anutt**ata** vijjā caraṇa -> anutt**ara** vijjā caraṇa

which locals were **to** terrified to approach -> which locals were **too** terrified to approach

## Notes

#380- Vin.I,301-302, -> Vin.IV,301-302,

#399- Lalitavistara XXVI 6 -> Lalitavistara XXVI 18,

#401- Vin.IV,465 -> Vin.IV,65

#409- (Vin.)IV,87 – I don’t understand what this refers to

#419- Vin.IV,189. – Is this correct?

#422- M.I,206; -> M.III,206;

#433- S.V,450 -> S.V,405

#444- also M.I,149. – I don’t understand this reference

#456- Vikramacarita 114-15. Is there an English Translation of this available?

#466- and for Yājñavalkya Dharmasūtra 1.152 it warranted the death penalty. Is Yājñavalkya Dharmasūtra in an Olivelle book or do you have another reference?

#474- **Srinivasam** gives a finger-breadth -> **Srinivasan** gives a finger-breadth

#525- S.I,129 -> Thi.42-44

#587- Sn.106 This reference doesn’t seem right

#595- Ja.III,15 -> Ja.II,15

#616- … work will be reborn in a very unpleasant purgatory, Mvu.I, **pp**.18,22. pp. not required as it’s not page numbers but section numbers

#624- **S.I,81-82, IV,230; M.I,473;** Vin.I,199. The first three references are mentioned in notes directly above so they may be superfluous here?

#644- A.IV,241 Is this correct?

#754-   
D. B. Spooner, Annual Report of the Archaeological Survey of India, 1905-1906; ‘Mr. Ratan Tata’s Excavations at Pataliputra’, Archaeological Survey of India Annual Report, 1912–13, 1916.) A. S. Altekar and V. Mishra, Report on the Kumrahar Excavations, 1951-55, 1959.   
->  
D. B. Spooner, ‘Mr. Ratan Tata’s Excavations at Pataliputra’, *Archaeological Survey of India Annual Report, 1912–13*, 1916; A. S. Altekar and V. Mishra, *Report on the Kumrahar Excavations, 1951-55*, 1959.  
For online version I’ve moved references to Other References sections and note says:  
#754- Spooner; Altekar and Mishra.

#655- Mhv. II,232. -> Mhv. II,32.

#671- The Buddha’s half-brother Nanda used to paint his eyes, Vin. IV,173. Should this reference be S.II,281 (SN21.8) as the reference you have used is for Nanda wearing Buddha sized robes

#680- D.II,101. This reference seems out of place – should it refer to D.II,127 – “And when the night had passed Cunda had delicious fresh and cooked foods prepared in his own home…”

#696- On the possible identification of the stupa built by Doṇa see Dhammika **2008**, pp. **174-175** \*\*  
Updated to:   
#696- On the possible identification of the stupa built by Doṇa see Dhammika **2018e**, pp. **211-216**

#700- See also pages 141-2 above. Are these the correct pages for reference (might we refer to a chapter instead for the online version)?

#714- **Panedy** thinks Aṅguttararāpa -> **Pandey** thinks Aṅguttararāpa

#725- See **Wangal** 1995 -> See **Wagle** 1995

#733 See **Sinh** pp.27-31. -> See **Sinha 2019** pp.27-31.

#737- [S.] III,126; this reference doesn’t seem correct?

#739- S.IV,**10-12**. -> S.IV,**110-112**.

#740- See Ireland, pp.114-117. -> See Ireland **1976**, pp.114-117.

#752- see **Schingloff** -> see **Schlingloff**

## Biblio:

Excavations at Paithan, **Maharastra** ->   
Excavations at Paithan, **Maharashtra**

Kaul, Shonaleeka. **Imaging** the Urban, Sanskrit and the City in Early India, 2010 ->  
Kaul, Shonaleeka. **Imagining** the Urban, Sanskrit and the City in Early India, 2010

Dhammika, S. Middle Land Middle Way, revised edition, **2008**. -> \*\*  
Updated to:  
Dhammika, S. Middle Land Middle Way, revised edition, **2018e**

**Schingloff**, Dieter. Fortified Cities of Ancient India, A Comparative Study, 2014 ->  
**Schlingloff**, Dieter. Fortified Cities of Ancient India, A Comparative Study, 2014

Mani, B. R. ‘Identification of **Setavya**, the Ancient City of Kosala with Siswania,’ **Puratavtta**, No.21, 1990-1991. pp.43-50. ->  
Mani, B. R. ‘Identification of **Setavyā**, the Ancient City of Kosala with Siswania,’ **Puratattva**, No.21, 1990-1991. pp.43-50.

Added: Jacobi, Hermann. Jain Sūtras, Part II, 1895. To reflect note #113